

### United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,724 01/03/2001		01/03/2001	Masafumi Kitakaze	58777.000003	1212	
21967	7590	09/10/2002				
HUNTON	& WILL	JAMS	EXAMINER			
1900 K STF	EET, N.	ROPERTY DEPA W.	ARTMENT	MITRA, RITA		
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1653	10	
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			File Co	by				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1 Y				
	•	09/752,724	KITAKAZE, MASAFUMI					
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	_				
		Rita Mitra	1653					
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the c rresp ndence address					
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of the will expire SIX (6) Means to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	<u>May 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	on of Claims	_						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
, —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	or election requirement.						
9) 🗌 7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ ⊺	he drawing(s) filed on <u>03 January 2001</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob	jected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :						
11)∐ 1	he proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.					
, a) 🗆 =	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•						
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
-	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of:							
	Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		ĺ				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document							
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bute the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))						
14)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest							
Attachment	-							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u>	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

File Copy

Application/Control Number: 09/752,724

Art Unit: 1653

Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Status of the Claims

Applicants' preliminary amendments filed on April 24, 2001 and May 14, 2001 in paper #6 and 9 respectively are acknowledged. Claim 1 has been amended. Claims 1-10 are currently pending and are under examination.

### **Informalities**

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 1 line 1 the priority/continuing data is missing.

The amended claim 1 in paper #9 is objected to because it repeats the line 2 at page 1 in line 1 at page 2. Appropriate correction is required.

### **Priority**

Applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a-d) is acknowledged. This application claims a priority of a Japanese Application No. 98134/2000, filed on March 31, 2000. Although, the instant application has provided a copy of this application, it fails to provide a certified copy of English translation in support of the priority date claimed. Therefore, the priority date granted is January 3, 2001, which is a filing date of this application.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed April 24, 2001 is acknowledged. The reference D, which is listed in the PTO-1449 form, is a Japanese publication and a copy of an English translation of this reference was not submitted in the IDS. Therefore, PTO-1449 has been placed in the application file and considered with regard the references, which have been submitted except reference D because an English translation of the reference D has not been supplied with this application. Note that reference D has been lined through. Consideration of the lined through reference will be made upon compliance with 37CFR 1.98 (a) (2).

Application/Control Number: 09/752,724

Art Unit: 1653

# **Objections to Claims**

Claims 4, 5, 9 and 10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only, and/or, it cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claims 4, 5, 9 and 10 are multiply dependent from previous multiple dependent claims 3 and 8 respectively. Claims 4, 5, 9 and 10 have been reviewed on the basis that they depend solely from the first listed claim in the multiple dependencies. It is incumbent upon applicant to properly amend the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 5 are indefinite because they are multiple dependent from a previous multiple dependent claims 3 and 4 respectively.

Claims 9 and 10 are indefinite because they are multiple dependent from a previous multiple dependent claims 8 and 9 respectively.

Claims 1-10 are indefinite because of the use of the term "cGMP". An acronym/abbreviation should be preceded by the full spelled out word. Claims 2-5 and 7-10 are included in the rejection because they are dependent on rejected claim and do not correct the deficiency of the claim from which they depend.

Claims 6-10 are indefinite because they lack essential steps as claimed in the process of treatment or prophylexis of ischemic heart diseases. The omitted steps are: the site and method of administration, the therapeutically effective amount of the agent and a step whereby the

Application/Control Number: 09/752,724

Art Unit: 1653

desired outcome and the time for the effective treatment using natriuretic peptide can be determined. Claims 7-10 are included in the rejection because they are dependent on rejected claim and do not correct the deficiency of the claim from which they depend.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takata et al. (Cardiovascular Research, 32, 286-293, 1996). Takata et al. teach a pharmaceutical composition that comprises an effective amount of synthetic alpha human ANP (atrial natriuretic peptide) (claim1, 4 and 5), which increases the level of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) (claim 1), and has cardioprotective effects (claims 1) on myocardial ischemia (claim 3) and reperfusion injury (claim 2) (see abstract; page 287, col 1, lines 12-14 and 24-25; page 289, col 1, lines 35-39; Fig. 1 and Table 1). Therefore, Takata's composition meets the criteria of claims 1-5 of instant application.

Takata et al. also teach a method of cardioprotection (claim 6) of myocardial ischemia (claims 8) and reperfusion injury (7) by administering a composition comprising an effective amount of synthetic alpha human ANP (atrial natriuretic peptide) (claim 6, 9 and 10), which increases the level of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) (claim 6), and has cardioprotective effects on myocardial ischemia and reperfusion injury (claims 6, 7, 8), (see abstract; page 287, col 1, lines 12-14 and 24-25, col 2, lines 14-18; page 289, col 1, lines 35-39; Fig. 1 and Table 1). Therefore, Takata's method anticipates claims 6-10 of instant application.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,724

Art Unit: 1653

#### **Conclusions**

No claims are allowed.

### Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rita Mitra whose telephone number is (703) 605-1211. The Examiner can normally be reached from 9:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. on weekdays. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Dr. Christopher Low, can be reached at (703) 308-2923. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Fax Center number is (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Rita Mitra, Ph.D.

September 7, 2002

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600